

Question 1A.	1B. Comment	Question 2A.	2B. Comment	Question 3A.					3B. Comment	Location	Age group	Length of residence
				1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
Strongly agree	The community will benefit from gradual population increase with a range of age groups.	Slightly agree	All new building should be carefully designed which is in keeping with the local area	General housing	Employment development site	Local services and facilities	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Comments here	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	Building needs to be sympathetic to the village surroundings, not just the needs of young & aged, so not against limited development as when and if required	Strongly agree	Building needs to be sympathetic to the village surroundings, not just the needs of the young & aged, so not against limited development as when and if required	Design policies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Light pollution & dark skies	Heritage sites		The plan needs to allow the Parish Council control of the development and not be left woolly to allow uncontrolled plans and proposals from external sources	Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	I do not fully appreciate the numbers and age ranges of the population but would assume there is a requirement	Strongly agree	No design code means no control and, therefore, at the whim of the Dorset council. Kington Magna has so far not shown a desire for any changes. This should be part of the plan not left with no statement.	Design policies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Design policy is an important part of the plan	Kington Magna	76+	0-10 yrs
Slightly agree	I think the most important thing is that any new housing is affordable/available to rent at moderate rates.	Slightly disagree	Any developments should be as eco friendly as possible.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Development of community facilities site	Walking & cycle routes	Design policies	Set up scheme to generate community renewable energy	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly agree		Slightly agree	I would not wish high density	Housing for young people in the village site	Design policies	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Public transport services and school transport		Kington Magna	76+	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree		Strongly agree		Design policies	Local services and facilities	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport		Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	I am not sure that new housing should be exclusively for the young people of the area, as opposed to any person of the area.	Strongly agree	Both villages have a blend of design and any further developments need to also blend in	Design policies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Development of community facilities site	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Renewable energy is vital but it also needs to be in keeping. The light pollution is degrading to the plant and could easily be directed downward, requiring less energy output for the desired effect.	Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Slightly agree	Both Buckhorn Weston & Kington Magna need a small number of new houses to provide some housing for local young people and to help the elderly people in the village move into smaller properties to suit their needs (downsizing)	Neither agree nor disagree	Design code/policy should include renewable energy i.e. windmill on Kington Hill.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Walking & cycle routes	Priority should be given for renewable energy generation - windmill on Kington Mill OS771227 - which apparently has infrastructure for windmill on land owned by Steve Gosney who has indicated to me his support. Should lead to bill reduction for the locality, others could be placed on Stour Hill (West Stour) plus Tunnel Hill (Buckhorn Weston)	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	31+ yrs
Neither agree nor disagree	Should a new housing development go ahead, the area needs very careful consideration. Depending on said area, this could have a detrimental effect on people living in that area e.g. house/bungalow valuations, secondly parking issues, traffic issues.	Strongly agree	A design code is a must! to ensure only essential building is done and is suitable for that area, taking various things into consideration and the overall design blends into the village.	General housing	Traffic management	Public transport services and school transport	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	Only to ensure only minimal building be done and again the area needs very careful consideration.	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly disagree	Because council lies	Strongly disagree	Council lies	Public transport services and school transport	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Walking & cycle routes	Light pollution & dark skies	Heritage sites		Kington Magna	56-75	
Strongly disagree	Infrastructure not sufficient. Infrastructure insufficient for increase in population and new houses in Kington Magna, very narrow road in West Street.	Neither agree nor disagree	Don't want new houses here	Walking & cycle routes	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Traffic management	Heritage sites	Car speeds should be restricted to 20 mph, church needs new roof church being very old.	Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly disagree	We feel there are enough smaller properties in the village of Kington Magna already.	Strongly agree	Traditional buildings fit in with the village	Public transport services and school transport	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Local services and facilities	Wildlife and green infrastructure		Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly disagree	Where are the jobs in this area? Doctors, dentists can they cope with extra needs? Gillingham already expanding! we currently have no local transport here, quite a few older people do/ cannot drive.	Strongly agree	If given the go ahead, design policy is needed to stop developers moving in and exploiting the village for their benefit, not the villagers.	General housing	Public transport services and school transport	Traffic management	Design policies	Housing for elderly people in the village site	If things go ahead where is building going to be allowed? We cannot see anywhere suitable in the village without affecting residents e.g. value of properties. Broad Close bungalows were ideal, no persons/property affected in that site.	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly disagree	We moved here 9 years ago as we liked the village so much. If our needs change and we require smaller or less expensive housing we do not expect the village to change to suit us, we would expect to move elsewhere. The village should be left as it is without development.	Strongly disagree	We strongly oppose any development.	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Walking & cycle routes	Heritage sites		Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Slightly disagree	We feel young people will not wish to live in Kington Magna because of the lack of facilities e.g. no bus, pub, shop or school. Some elderly people have lived here most of their lives and would not wish to leave their home, also for some the idea of moving would be too greater an upheaval.	Strongly disagree	Any development must be in keeping with local properties.	Public transport services and school transport	Local services and facilities	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Development of community facilities site	As all roads in this village are single track and future development should be on the edge of the village with direct access onto the A30	Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	We feel that it is important both for the community and local people, young & old, that they are able to remain in the village and that any new builds should not be granted if they are purely for profit. However, many elderly move into towns where they can access facilities more easily.	Strongly agree	There is already an example of how incongruous a very modern design looks when surrounded by traditional buildings.	Housing for young people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Traffic management	There needs to be a clear monitoring of the planning loophole that allows 'newly' built agricultural buildings to be turned into building plots.	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly agree	Where possible freedom of choice for enabling local young and elderly families to find an appropriate house to settle in is a wonderful opportunity	Slightly agree	Our villages are attractive with very few eyesores. Perhaps we need a design policy to keep it that way, but surely a group of affordable, comfortable, quite attractive houses - a garden for the children to play in - or for dad to plant his veg. A place to call ones own. Surely thats what we want in our villages.	General housing	Public transport services and school transport	Local services and facilities	Development of community facilities site	Renewable energy on domestic properties		Kington Magna	76+	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Heritage sites	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for young people in the village site		Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	Young people need affordable housing to move back in the village they grew up in.	Strongly agree		Housing for young people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Walking & cycle routes	General housing	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Maintain ditches. Removing mud on roads. Housing infill in line with existing buildings. Stop people redirecting footpaths without consultation.	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	There is a need for smaller properties.	Strongly agree	It is important to me to keep the integrity of the look of the villages.	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Public transport services and school transport	Design policies	Walking & cycle routes		Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree		Strongly agree	I feel it is important that any new developments are in keeping with the village/location and are not detrimental to the look and feel of the village/location.	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Public transport services and school transport	Walking & cycle routes	Local services and facilities		Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	Need to provide bungalows for elderly villagers is paramount. Also opportunity to infill with small developments.	Neither agree nor disagree	Light touch guidance may be but no need to lay down rules. We already have diversity of houses.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for elderly people in the village site	General housing	Design policies	Traffic management	This question does not work. Why not say 5 best and 5 worse. So that 1-3 are positive and 4-5 are negative	Kington Magna	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Local services and facilities	Development of community facilities site	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Housing for young people in the village site	Design policies		Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs

Slightly agree		Slightly agree	New builds need to blend in with surrounding buildings	Traffic management	Heritage sites	Public transport services and school transport	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Local services and facilities	Kington Magna appears to be used as a short cut from Broad Robin (Gillingham) to the nearby A30 avoiding the traffic lights in Gillingham.	Kington Magna	11-30 yrs	
Slightly agree	Young people should be encouraged to join a self-build scheme. There is a demand for older people to downsize.	Strongly agree	It would be a shame to spoil the character of the villages with design or materials not in keeping.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Local services and facilities	Wildlife and green infrastructure	I assume 5 is more important than the non-covered categories. Extra housing should be less than 1% per annum of existing.	Kington Magna	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	It is the case. However, properties must be of sympathetic design, of good quality and proportionate to the size of the village.	Strongly agree	If it has any muscle otherwise it is a waste of time. Developers are too used to getting their own way.	Public transport services and school transport	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Design policies	I am fearful this is simply divide and rule.	Kington Magna	56-75	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	Important for young people to be able to stay in the area if they want to.	Strongly agree	Important houses are in keeping and provide enough green space around them.	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for young people in the village site	Design policies	Protecting green spaces is imperative - right development in the right place means that it feels in keeping with the area and attracts the right type of residents.	Kington Magna	36-55	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Housing for young people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies		Kington Magna	36-55	11-30 yrs
Strongly disagree	Young people prefer communities with facilities. For elderly people there are no facilities, no post office - shop - park - cafe - no transport.	Strongly agree	A forgone conclusion to expand and build must be in keeping with local architecture.	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Design policies	Walking & cycle routes	Too much profit making in housing. Conversion of existing buildings only. No new builds. No there are no community facilities church, pub, village hall.	Buckhorn Weston		11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	We know of at least one young family and one older person who have had to move out of the village because there was nothing suitable within their budget.	Strongly agree	There are a variety of designs that would sit happily within the village but a very modern design such as the house at the Quarr would not sit comfortably.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Design policies	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Improve public transport and local services and facilities would be great but we can't see this being possible given how small the villages are.	Buckhorn Weston	76+	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	The need for new housing to benefit the young and old is a good thing but the available areas are limited and housing is generally mixed, meaning that not just the young and the old are catered for. Therefore, the number of properties desired for the young and old will also attract a quota for other categories of dwelling thus pushing the total number of properties up. It is the total number of properties that will need to be considered in the plan.	Slightly agree		Public transport services and school transport	Light pollution & dark skies	Housing for young people in the village site	Development of community facilities site	Heritage sites		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	Downsizing opportunities.	Strongly agree	To preserve the charm and character of the village.	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Design policies	Walking & cycle routes	This village needs to be protected from urban sprawl and loss of surrounding countryside.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	We cannot comment on the requirement for housing for young people as we have no experience or knowledge of that need. We can say that we do know of several older people who have reluctantly had to move from the village when their homes became difficult to manage and there was nothing more suitable. Personally, we both wish to move into a smaller home with a smaller garden, easier to manage and which would make available a 3 bedroom family home. We also know of others who are thinking in a similar vein.	Strongly agree	Our villages do require traditional builds to keep their character as much as possible.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Public transport services and school transport	We feel that new builds should look traditional but, ideally, be built with the best insulation, heat pumps, underfloor heating and PV panels at least. Ideally we would also like small plots to be available on which we could build our own bungalow and not have a site of identical buildings.	Buckhorn Weston	76+	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	I feel the elderly will not downsize due to not wanting to leave the village meaning there are very little houses that come up for sale, which leads to very high prices on houses when they do, that young people cannot afford.	Slightly agree		Housing for young people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Local services and facilities	Traffic management		Buckhorn Weston	18-35	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Development of community facilities site	Local services and facilities	Walking & cycle routes	Public transport services and school transport	General housing		Buckhorn Weston	36-55	0-10 yrs
Slightly agree	How can you differentiate between small houses to downsize into and small houses for other stages in life.	Neither agree nor disagree	Would only agree with the broadest of design policy to stop what would almost universally be agreed as ugly.						No submission from me on this. I feel I need to know what for example traffic management could mean in this context. Do want to keep the rural character of the village.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Slightly agree	For elderly not young. Feel there is plenty of housing for young people in both Gillingham and Wincanton.	Neither agree nor disagree	Not sure what you mean type of house e.g. detached/terraced or materials used. If you mean the latter then there is such a variety within each road in Buckhorn Weston then this would not really apply i.e. rendered/stone/brick/reconstituted stone.	Walking & cycle routes	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Traffic management	Would like to keep the village predominately with a rural feel.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	Those who wish to stay close to friends and maybe family, should have an option.	Slightly agree	To prevent little boxes or out of scale mansions.	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Light pollution & dark skies	Local services and facilities		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	In principle we strongly agree but the obvious solution is to develop the Cross's Garage site to meet these needs and if that was the proposal we would have chosen number 1.	Strongly agree	This is essential, some recent developments in the village are unsightly and if any new development follows the same pattern, this would be disastrous.	General housing	Design policies	Traffic management	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Walking & cycle routes		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	Young people and families and long term residents are the life blood of a thriving community and so we need to help them by providing suitable accommodation, including some low cost housing.	Slightly agree		Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Light pollution & dark skies	Design policies	We feel the absence of street lighting is a very important aspect of our village life and would not want to see that changed.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree		Slightly agree		Traffic management	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Renewable energy on domestic properties		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	There are not many houses that young people can afford and it would be nice to see the continuation of these villages.	Slightly agree	It does not have to be spot on as there are various designs around.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Wildlife and green infrastructure	General housing	A nice small collection of houses would be good for both age spectrums.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	31+ yrs
Slightly agree		Slightly agree		Traffic management	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Light pollution & dark skies	Public transport services and school transport	Renewable energy on domestic properties		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	
Slightly disagree		Slightly disagree		Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Walking & cycle routes	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Public transport services and school transport		Buckhorn Weston		
Neither agree nor disagree		Slightly agree		Traffic management	Walking & cycle routes	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Local services and facilities		Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly disagree	Keep development to major towns.	Strongly agree	Houses in stone build would be more in keeping than brick/concrete.	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Design policies	Local services and facilities	Heritage sites	Walking & cycle routes	Please stop "Trojan house" new agricultural developments becoming a house and then multiple houses.	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly disagree	I am concerned this would set a precedent for developers in the village to sell land and build more houses.	Strongly agree		Renewable energy on domestic properties	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Walking & cycle routes	Local services and facilities	Design policies		Buckhorn Weston		0-10 yrs

Strongly disagree	We have plenty of starter homes - Berrycroft & Hope Cross. It is pointless to build homes for the elderly when we do not have transport or shops.	Neither agree nor disagree		Renewable energy on domestic properties	Light pollution & dark skies	Traffic management	Heritage sites	Employment development site	Buckhorn Weston	76+	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	Slightly agree only because of the lack of facilities.	Strongly agree	I agree because not all new builds in the village are in keeping with the location even if they are very nice properties.	Local services and facilities	Public transport services and school transport	Employment development site	General housing	Design policies	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	We will need to downsize in the near future and we do not want to leave the village.	Strongly agree	So any new buildings match in nicely.	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	General housing	Development of community facilities site	Employment development site	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	We need more social/affordable housing for locals and young families. This in turn creates a diverse community.	Strongly agree	I would prefer new homes to be built in a country/village style.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Local services and facilities	Public transport services and school transport	Buckhorn Weston	36-55	0-10 yrs
Slightly agree	A smaller number could mean different things to different developers.	Slightly agree	Depends on the design code.	Local services and facilities	Traffic management	Employment development site	Housing for young people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	As long as they are for local families.	Strongly agree		Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Local services and facilities	Buckhorn Weston	76+	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	It is difficult to ensure housing goes to local/young/downsizing elderly. Supply and need rarely occur at the same time.	Slightly agree	Depends on the design code/policy.	Development of community facilities site	Local services and facilities	Design policies	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	To keep the vibrancy in our village with younger families and to care for the people who have lived here into old age and who wish to remain in suitable housing.	Strongly agree	Inappropriate designs in the past are out of keeping with the local area and surrounding properties.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Design policies	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Light pollution & dark skies	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree	To prevent unsuitable designs in our neighbourhood as has already happened.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Walking & cycle routes	Sandley	56-75	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	Just a few new houses would not spoil the village, maybe enhance it in places.	Strongly agree	This will ensure that we have a design of future things in the village and it will be what we want.	Design policies	General housing	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Employment development site	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly agree	There is an enormous shortage of housing in the country. A small addition to the villages to provide for needy cases is justified providing planning is seriously applied to any development.	Strongly agree		Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site	Traffic management	Local services and facilities	Walking & cycle routes	Buckhorn Weston	76+	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	Having lived here for 30 years I have watched smaller properties disappear into large and people who were born and brought up here being priced out of the market and the elderly having to move out for lack of smaller houses.	Strongly agree	We need a mixture of 3 bed houses with gardens and small bungalows with manageable gardens to suit young families and the elderly to mix together to benefit young and old.	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Buckhorn Weston		31+ yrs
Slightly agree	I think we should be encouraging young people to the area.	Slightly agree	I agree with some design codes but not to exclude modern ideas which encompass bold and environmentally suitable design. Good architecture is important.	Traffic management	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	31+ yrs
Strongly agree	Some housing for the elderly to downsize to would free up houses for local families.	Strongly agree	To avoid reducing the overall character of the village.	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Design policies	Housing for young people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Local services and facilities	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs
Slightly agree	The objective of any proposed development needs to be clearly defined and the detail of how this is managed and enforced should be legally binding i.e. restricted covenants.	Slightly agree	Apart from ultra modern there are all manner of designs in the village.	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Housing for young people in the village site				Buckhorn Weston	56-75	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	Because young people have to move away and some older people would like to stay in the village but can't find suitable properties.	Strongly agree	We do not want to ruin the area with unsightly building that spoils its character.	Housing for young people in the village site	Public transport services and school transport	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Light pollution & dark skies	Walking & cycle routes	Buckhorn Weston	76+	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree	Grand designs like the one built by the Quarr crossroads are out of character with all other properties.	Housing for young people in the village site	Walking & cycle routes	Local services and facilities	Public transport services and school transport	Traffic management	Buckhorn Weston	18-35	31+ yrs
Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Design policies	Local services and facilities	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	31+ yrs
Slightly agree	Young people are remaining at home as they cannot afford to move.	Strongly agree	As the whole village has no overall design, having design/code policy would help to keep the village smart/good looking.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Walking & cycle routes	Buckhorn Weston	76+	11-30 yrs
Strongly agree	A village needs all age groups if it is going to stay alive and active	Strongly agree	All new development should be in keeping with the village.	Public transport services and school transport	Housing for young people in the village site	Local services and facilities	Light pollution & dark skies	Traffic management	Kington Magna	76+	31+ yrs
Strongly disagree	Village, already densely populated and surrounded by important agricultural land. The roads are one lane, winding and there is already significant traffic on them. For young and elderly there is no shop, doctors or any amenities. We should protect land for local agriculture plus the roadneeds that come with that (tractors, horses etc)	Slightly agree	If the design code/policy is to ensure planning applications etc are in keeping that seems a good measure to ensure quality architecture + design practices + to uphold the feel of the village. It would be important to ensure it is reasonable so that the letter of the code is applied sympathetically.	Light pollution & dark skies	Wildlife and green infrastructure	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Development of community facilities site	Heritage sites	Kington Magna	36-55	0-10 yrs
Strongly agree	We need a limited number of starter homes and a development of retirement apartments.	Strongly agree	Too often it appears that planning has permitted development that is not in keeping with the village and often has exceeded the original approved plans.	Housing for young people in the village site	Housing for elderly people in the village site	Renewable energy on domestic properties	Traffic management	Light pollution & dark skies	Buckhorn Weston	56-75	11-30 yrs