



Buckhorn Weston & Kington Magna Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Minutes of meeting held on Wednesday 8th March @ 7:00 pm Buckhorn Weston Village Hall

Committee Room

Attendees: Linda Munster (LM) (Chair)
Peter Townsley (PT)
John Street (JS)
Richard McDougal (RM)
John Westbrook (JW)
Richard Smith (RS)
Felicity Beck (FB)
Lisa House (LH) (Secretary)

Apologies: None

Serial		Action
1.	There were no apologies received	
2.	The minutes for the meeting held on the 8 February were agreed and to be signed.	LM
3.	Matters arising from previous Minutes: None arising.	
4.	There were no declarations of interest.	
5.	<p>Discussion on Design Principles and how these are described in the tables. In-keeping with the predominant features of the properties in vicinity, taking into consideration the Conservation Area restrictions. [wording to be clarified with LM]. Otherwise, the draft document is almost complete (Front cover to be rejigged to be portrait rather than the current landscape orientation).</p> <p>Funding: Grant of £10k plus £1k from PC to get started with NPSG. Therefore, £11k to reach Referendum stage plus an additional £2k from PC. Roughly £700 remaining to get to referendum, considering photocopying and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), which will include screening as we have allowed for some building in the Plan, so an Environmental assessment may be needed. Dorset Council will carry out the screening at no cost to the Parish Council. The Planning Officer will send the screening report to Historic England, Natural England and the Environment Agency for their comments. Following the</p>	LM

	<p>results of the screening if we have to conduct an SEA LM will have to apply for funding through Neighbourhood Planning.Org and it may take up to 8 weeks to complete. Screening permission was given on Friday. Reg 14 cannot be submitted until that is complete. If the Examiner considers that an assessment is required, then we would have to start again to enable villagers to consider the impact of the EA. Crosses Garage site had Ecology survey however Planning was turned down prior to it being submitted. The Committee did not do a Call for Sites.</p> <p>Hard copies of the Neighbourhood Plan will be available in both Churches, Social Club (KM) and Stapleton Arms (BW). Add pm to the timings on the notice.</p> <p>Comments on documents:</p> <p>Flyer: Plans have been prepared by... consider including “with guidance provided by Dorset Planner and Consultant, under the auspices of the Parish Council and following the results of the previous... Please do involve yourself as this has taken a lot of time, effort and money to compile this on your behalf... ”.</p> <p>Consider re-wording the wording in CAPITALS for ease of reading and understanding. Questioned whether the Questionnaire is required as it is asking similar questions to that which have been asked previously. Question 1: is a statement of fact rather than a question that needs and answer... This is the final chance for people to have an understanding and have their say before Referendum. Possible Agree/Disagree/Neither style questions rather than a particular preference. Lighting Scheme is in relation to individual housing rather than street lighting. In respect of how the boundary is drawn do you: Agree, Disagree ... Give reason for your response... Must have a question on every policy within the Plan. Regarding Your Village... Consultation Questions for your village only... [exact wording to be determined “Please answer for your household, stating your full address”].</p> <p>Question 4: is this required if there will not be the quantity to require affordable housing within either village. Remove % so that the types will be supported... Not achievable. Although a requirement within the policy, there is not sufficient land within the boundary, however if it were possible, it would be supported.</p> <p>Question 6: Lighting Schemes – should we make reference to the fact that this in relation to individual rather than street lighting...?</p> <p>Question 7: Sustainable and Energy Efficient Housing – is the wording a little ambiguous in relation to “Positive Support will be given”. Consider alternative wording.</p> <p>Question 8: Re-word to remove double negative. Don’t take away nor reduce Important gaps... (refer to the Definition in the Plan). Those listed below are ... Add punctuation for clarity...</p> <p>LM to consult with LS and report back.</p> <p>Letter – amended as discussed</p> <p>Cover page for Drop Boxes – no change required</p> <p>Cannot print until the result of the Screening is received as this will need to be mentioned within the Plan documents.</p> <p>REG 14: Screening currently underway.</p>	
6.	AOB: None	
7.	DONM: 12 Apr 2023	

Meeting closed: 21:10 hrs

These minutes are approved as a true reflection of the meeting:

Signed: _____